

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

WHITE & CASE LLP
PATENT DEPARTMENT
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NY 10036

COPY MAILED

JAN 2 7 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Kiesel, et al.

Application No. 10/646,402

Filed: August 22, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 1140668-0015 CON

: DECISION ON PETITIONS

: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) AND

: 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)

This is a decision on the renewed petition, filed November 17, 2005, which is being treated as both a petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§120 and 119(e) for the benefit of the prior-filed applications set forth in the concurrently filed amendment; namely, nonprovisional Application Nos. 09/950,848; 09/950,726; 09/950,723; 09/950,731; and Patent No. 6,954,680 (10/052,293) (37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)); and provisional Application No. 60/305,199 (37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)).

## The petitions are **Dismissed**.

The present nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim herein for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed applications is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii). Therefore, this is a proper petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6).

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) must be accompanied by:

- the reference required by 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) and 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(i) and 1.78(a)(5)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in  $\S 1.17(t)$ ; and
- a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The petition fails to satisfy item (1) above. In this regard, the amendment filed November 16, 2005 to claim benefit of the prior-filed applications references an application by the patent number rather than by the application number as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i), which states:

Except for a continued prosecution application filed under § 1.53(d), any nonprovisional application claiming the benefit of one or more prior-filed copending nonprovisional applications \* \* \* must contain or be amended to contain a reference to each such prior-filed application, identifying it by application number (consisting of the series code and serial number) \* \* \* and indicating the relationship of the applications. [Emphasis supplied.]

Accordingly, a substitute amendment complying with 37 CFR 1.121 and satisfying the requirements of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) must be submitted, along with a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6).

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop PETITIONS

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:

Customer Service Window

Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 40l Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax:

(571) 273-8300

ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3218.

Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions